arXiv:1008.3778v3 [hep-th] 8 Jun 2011

Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

Mathieu Moonshine in the elliptic genus of K3

Matthias R. Gaberdiel, Stefan Hohenegger and Roberto Volpato

Institut für Theoretische Physik
ETH Zurich
CH-8093 Zürich
Switzerland

Abstract: It has recently been conjectured that the elliptic genus of K3 can be written in terms of dimensions of Mathieu group $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations. Some further evidence for this idea was subsequently found by studying the twining genera that are obtained from the elliptic genus upon replacing dimensions of Mathieu group representations by their characters. In this paper we find explicit formulae for all (remaining) twining genera by making an educated guess for their general modular properties. This allows us to identify the decomposition of *all* expansion coefficients in terms of dimensions of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$-representations. For the first 500 coefficients we verify that the multiplicities with which these representations appear are indeed all non-negative integers. This represents very compelling evidence in favour of the conjecture.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. Elliptic genus and twining characters **3**

3. Modular properties of the twining genera **5**
  3.1 Characters evaluated at fixed values of z 8
  3.2 Studying the modular forms of weight two 10

4. Decomposition into irreducible representations **11**

5. Conclusions **12**

A. Definitions **16**

B. Modular forms for $\Gamma_0(N)$ **17**

C. The modular forms of weight two **19**

1. Introduction

A few months ago Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa [1] observed that the elliptic genus of K3 seems to involve representations of the largest of the Mathieu groups, $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. More specifically, they studied the expansion of the elliptic genus of K3 in terms of elliptic genera of $\mathcal{N}=4$ superconformal representations following [2], and noted that the expansion coefficients can be written in terms of dimensions of representations of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. This intriguing observation is very reminiscent of a similar phenomenon usually referred to as 'Monstrous Moonshine', namely that the famous J-function has an expansion in terms of characters of the Virasoro algebra whose coefficients are dimensions of Monster group representations, as was first noted by McKay and Thompson.

In the context of Monstrous Moonshine, this observation was eventually explained by the construction of the so-called Monster conformal field theory $V^\natural$ [3], a self-dual conformal field theory at $c=24$ whose space of states is of the form

$$V^\natural = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(V_n \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\rm Vir}_{h=n} \right) \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where each V_n is a representation of the Monster group, while $\mathcal{H}^{\rm Vir}_h$ denotes the irreducible Virasoro representation with conformal weight h and $c=24$. The J-function is then the partition function of $V^\natural$, and its Fourier coefficients are therefore sums of dimensions of irreducible Monster group representations. Furthermore, the automorphism group of $V^\natural$ is the Monster group.

One key observation that provided convincing evidence for (1.1) came from considering the so-called McKay-Thompson series [4]. These are obtained from the J-function upon replacing the expansion coefficients $A_n = \dim(V_n)$ by their corresponding characters, $\mathrm{Tr}_{V_n}(g)$, where g is an element of the Monster group.[1] It was shown by Conway & Norton [5] that these McKay-Thompson series have nice modular properties under congruence subgroups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. This is what one expects if they arise indeed from (1.1) since they are then equal to the 'twining character', *i.e.* the character with the insertion of the group element g, which has good modular properties based on standard orbifold arguments. For a review of these and other aspects of Monstrous Moonshine see *e.g.* [6].

By analogy to (1.1) the observation of [1] suggests that the states that contribute to the elliptic genus of K3 have the structure

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{BPS}} = \bigoplus_n \left(H_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathcal{N}=4} \right) \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where the sum runs over all irreducible $\mathcal{N} = 4$ representations that contribute to the elliptic genus, while each H_n is a $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representation. In order to test this idea it is then again natural to consider the 'twining genera' $\phi_g(\tau, z)$, where one replaces $\dim(H_n)$ by the corresponding character $\mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g)$, with $g \in \mathbb{M}_{24}$ [7, 8]. Unfortunately, the dimensions of the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ are rather small, and the decomposition of the expansion coefficients of the elliptic genus of K3 in terms of dimensions of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations could only be guessed reliably for the first few coefficients. However, the elliptic genus of K3 is a weak Jacobi form of index one and weight zero [9], and one expects that the twining genera should have similar properties. More specifically, if $g \in \mathbb{M}_{24}$ has order N, then the corresponding twining genus $\phi_g(\tau, z)$ should transform as a weak Jacobi form of index 1 and weight 0 under the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$, possibly up to a multiplier system.

In [7, 8] this assumption about the modular properties of the twining genera, together with the explicit knowledge of the first few coefficients, was used in order to determine some of them explicitly. The fact that these two constraints were compatible was already a fairly non-trivial consistency check on the proposal of [1]. Furthermore, for group elements that enjoy an interpretation as an automorphism symmetry of K3 at a suitable point in moduli space, one could calculate the corresponding twining genera directly (see in particular [10]). In this way some of these formulae could be confirmed independently [7].

In this paper we shall complete the analysis of [7, 8] by finding explicit formulae for the remaining conjugacy classes.[2] In particular, we shall make a precise proposal for the structure of the multiplier system that appears in the modular transformation formula, see (3.8) and table 1 below. We can then follow again the strategies outlined in [8] and [7], respectively, to determine the twining genera, using the explicit knowledge of the first few terms. The fact that this procedure is successful is again a fairly non-trivial consistency check of the proposed structure (1.2).

---

[1] These series can be calculated provided one knows how to write the Fourier coefficients of the J-function as $A_n = \dim(V_n)$, but do not require any additional knowledge.

[2] After posting the first version of this paper on the arXiv, we were informed by Tohru Eguchi that they have independently obtained explicit formulae for these twining genera which agree with ours, see [24].

The knowledge of *all* twining genera also leads to another, highly constraining, consistency check. If (1.2) holds and if, for each g, our explicit formula for ϕ_g really corresponds to the trace over $\mathcal{H}^{\rm BPS}$ with the insertion of g, then its coefficients must be equal to $\mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g)$ for all n. But knowing these traces for all classes g is sufficient to identify unambiguously H_n as a (possibly reducible) representation of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. Thus assuming that (1.2) holds, we can *deduce* the decomposition of H_n into irreducible $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations for all n. We have calculated the multiplicities explicitly up to order 500 — the results up to order 30 are tabulated in table 4 — and they are all indeed non-negative integers. The fact that this decomposition works out, *i.e.* that each H_n can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations (with integer multiplicities) is then a highly non-trivial check of (1.2); in fact, we would in some sense prove the proposal of [1] if we could show that *all* of these multiplicities are indeed non-negative integers.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we briefly review some of the properties of the elliptic genus of K3, as well as the proposal of [1]. The modular properties of the twining genera are explained in detail in section 3. In particular, by analogy with the situation for the McKay-Thompson series of Monstrous Moonshine, we make a specific proposal for the structure of the multiplier system. This proposal is then subsequently verified in sections 3.1 and 3.2. In section 3.1 we adopt the strategy of [8] and consider the twining genus at specific values of z, finding explicit formulae for all remaining cases. Section 3.2, on the other hand, employs the method of [7] to write the twining genus in terms of a modular form of weight two; this allows us to check some of the modular properties of the twining genera fairly directly, but the analysis is quite complicated and the details are described in appendix B and C. Finally, we explain in section 4 how the knowledge of all twining genera determines the decomposition of the coefficients in terms of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations, and give the explicit results in table 4. We close with some comments and speculations in section 5.

2. Elliptic genus and twining characters

The elliptic genus of an $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal algebra is defined by

$$\phi(\tau,z) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\rm RR}}\Big(q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}}e^{2\pi i z J_0}(-1)^F\,\bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0-\frac{\bar{c}}{24}}(-1)^{\bar{F}}\Big)\ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $q=e^{2\pi i\tau}$, and the trace is taken in the RR sector. For the right-movers (whose modes are denoted by a bar), only the ground states contribute, and hence the above expression is in fact independent of $\bar{q}$. As is well known [9], the modularity properties of conformal field theory together with spectral flow invariance and unitarity imply that the elliptic genus is a *weak Jacobi form* of index $m=\frac{c}{6}$ and weight 0 [11]. A weak Jacobi form $\phi(\tau,z)$ of weight w and index $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ is a function ϕ of $(\tau,z)\in\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half-plane. It is characterised by the transformation properties

$$\phi\Big(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d},\frac{z}{c\tau+d}\Big) = (c\tau+d)^w\, e^{2\pi i m\frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d}}\,\phi(\tau,z) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\ , \tag{2.2}$$

$$\phi(\tau,z+\ell\tau+\ell') = e^{-2\pi i m(\ell^2\tau+2\ell z)}\phi(\tau,z) \qquad \ell,\ell'\in\mathbb{Z}\ , \tag{2.3}$$

and has a Fourier expansion

$$\phi(\tau,z) = \sum_{n\geq 0,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n,\ell)q^n y^\ell \tag{2.4}$$

where $y = e^{2\pi i z}$ and $c(n,\ell) = (-1)^w c(n,-\ell)$. For the case of K3 that will concern us primarily in this paper, $m=1$ and the elliptic genus equals [12]

$$\phi_{K3}(\tau,z) = 2y + 20 + 2y^{-1} + q\Big(20y^2 - 128y + 216 - 128y^{-1} + 20y^{-2}\Big) + \mathcal{O}(q^2)\ . \tag{2.5}$$

It can be thought of as the partition function of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ half-BPS states of type II string theory on K3.

For the case of K3 the conformal field theory is actually $\mathcal{N}=4$ superconformal, and one can therefore write the elliptic genus in terms of the elliptic genera associated to $\mathcal{N}=4$ superconformal representations. It was observed in [1], following on from earlier work [2], that it can be written as

$$\phi_{K3}(\tau,z) = 24\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=0}(\tau,z) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=n+\frac{1}{4},l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,z)\ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=0}$ is the elliptic genus of the short $\mathcal{N}=4$ representation with $h=\frac{1}{4}$ and $l=0$ — see [13, 14] for an explicit formula — while

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h,l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,z) = q^{h-\frac{3}{8}}\,\frac{\vartheta_1(\tau,z)^2}{\eta(\tau)^3} \tag{2.7}$$

is the elliptic genus of a long $\mathcal{N}=4$ representation.[3] The observation of [1] was that the coefficients A_n can be written in terms of dimensions of representations H_n of the Mathieu group $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{K3}(\tau,z) =& (\dim H_{00})\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=0}(\tau,z) - (\dim H_0)\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,z) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\dim H_n)\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=n+\frac{1}{4},l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,z)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{00} &= \mathbf{23}+\mathbf{1} & H_0 &= 2\cdot\mathbf{1} \\ H_1 &= \mathbf{45}+\overline{\mathbf{45}} & H_2 &= \mathbf{231}+\overline{\mathbf{231}} \\ H_3 &= \mathbf{770}+\overline{\mathbf{770}} & H_4 &= \mathbf{2277}+\overline{\mathbf{2277}} \\ H_5 &= 2\cdot\mathbf{5796} & H_6 &= 2\cdot\mathbf{3520}+2\cdot\mathbf{10395} \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

---

[3] Strictly speaking, the $\mathcal{N}=4$ representation with $n=0$ ($h=\frac{1}{4}$) is short, and thus (2.7) for $h=\frac{1}{4}$ is not the elliptic genus of a single representation, but rather involves a sum of representations.

$$H_7 = 2\cdot\mathbf{1771} + 2\cdot\mathbf{2024} + 2\cdot\mathbf{5313} + 2\cdot\mathbf{5796} + 2\cdot\mathbf{5544} + 2\cdot\mathbf{10395}\ . \tag{2.10}$$

The dimensions of the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ can be read off from the character table (see table 3). Note that we have absorbed the prefactor 2 in equation (1.11) of [1] into the definition of $A_n = \dim(H_n)$. Then we can write the H_n in terms of real representations, so that, for example, H_1 is the sum of a pair of conjugate representations. The expression for H_7 was given in [7, 8] and differs from what was originally proposed in [1].

It is natural to conjecture that such a decomposition is the hallmark of a deeper structure underlying the elliptic genus of K3, see (1.2). In order to test this idea, the '*twining elliptic genera*', *i.e.* the analogues of the McKay-Thompson series of Monstrous Moonshine, were considered in [7, 8]. These twining genera are obtained from the elliptic genus upon inserting a group element $g \in \mathbb{M}_{24}$ into the trace

$$\phi_g(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{RR}}}\Big(g\, q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}} e^{2\pi i z J_0} (-1)^F\, \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0-\frac{\bar{c}}{24}} (-1)^{\bar{F}}\Big)\ . \tag{2.11}$$

As in [8], we shall normalise the twining characters so that $\phi_{1\mathrm{A}}(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{K3}(\tau, z)$ is directly equal to the standard weak Jacobi form $\phi_{0,1}$ (see appendix A). Technically speaking, ϕ_g is simply obtained from (2.8) by replacing the dimensions $A_n = \dim(H_n)$ by the trace of g over H_n, $A_n(g) = \mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g)$, *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_g(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[&\mathrm{Tr}_{H_{00}}(g)\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=0}(\tau, z) - \mathrm{Tr}_{H_0}(g)\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z)\\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g)\,\mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=n+\frac{1}{4},l=\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z)\Big]\ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The character of g only depends on its conjugacy class, and thus the various traces can be read off from the character table of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, see table 3.

As discussed in more detail in the next section, the twining genera are expected to be Jacobi forms under suitable congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$. This was confirmed for a number of conjugacy classes in [7, 8]. In the next section we shall complete this programme by determining the twining characters for all remaining conjugacy classes. We shall furthermore show that they have the appropriate modular properties.

3. Modular properties of the twining genera

Using standard conformal field theory arguments, it was argued in [7, 8] that the twining genera ϕ_g should transform as Jacobi forms of index 1 and weight 0, possibly up to a phase, under the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$. More specifically, this means that ϕ_g satisfies (2.3) for all $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z}$, while (2.2) only holds for

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \mod N\right\}\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where N is the order of g. In [7], this was explicitly verified for the conjugacy classes[4]

$$1\mathrm{A},\ 2\mathrm{A},\ 3\mathrm{A},\ 4\mathrm{B},\ 5\mathrm{A},\ 6\mathrm{A},\ 7\mathrm{AB},\ 8\mathrm{A},\ 11\mathrm{A},\ 14\mathrm{AB},\ 15\mathrm{AB},\ 23\mathrm{AB}\ . \tag{3.2}$$

These classes are characterised by the condition $\phi_g(\tau,0)\neq 0$. This is equivalent to the condition that a representative of the class is contained in the subgroup $\mathbb{M}_{23}\subset\mathbb{M}_{24}$, where we think of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ as a subgroup of S_{24}, the permutation group of 24 points, and define $\mathbb{M}_{23}\subset\mathbb{M}_{24}$ to be the subgroup fixing, say, the first point. All geometric symmetries of K3 at a suitable point in moduli space lie in this subgroup [15, 16], and thus some of them can be calculated from first principles, see also [10]. For all of them the multiplier system turned out to be trivial [7]. The situation is more difficult for the remaining conjugacy classes

$$2\mathrm{B},\ 3\mathrm{B},\ 4\mathrm{A},\ 4\mathrm{C},\ 6\mathrm{B},\ 10\mathrm{A},\ 12\mathrm{A},\ 12\mathrm{B},\ 21\mathrm{AB}\ , \tag{3.3}$$

since there is no *a priori* method to determine them. In [8], explicit formulae were found for the first few of them by combining the constraints from modularity with the knowledge of the first few coefficients.[5] In fact, the analysis of [8] was performed for the NS-sector version of the twining genus, the twining character

$$\chi_g(\tau,z)=\exp\left[2\pi i\left(\frac{\tau}{4}+z+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\phi_g\left(\tau,z+\frac{\tau}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.4}$$

evaluated at $z=0$. The advantage of this approach is that one can work with standard modular functions (rather than Jacobi forms). The price one has to pay, on the other hand, is that part of the modular invariance is broken, and that multiplier phases are introduced for certain modular transformations. The latter property turned out to be a blessing in disguise since it suggested that multiplier phases may naturally appear in the modular transformations. Indeed, in [8] the twining characters were determined for all elements g up to order $o(g)\leq 6$, and it was found that the classes in (3.2) and in (3.3) appear to behave very similarly. This suggests that also the twining genera ϕ_g associated to (3.3) should be invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$, possibly up to non-trivial phases.

The appearance of a multiplier system in the transformation rule for the twining genera is certainly consistent with standard CFT arguments. In fact, this phenomenon also occurs for several McKay-Thompson series (and, more generally, for replicable functions [17]), which are the analogues of the twining characters in the context of Monstrous Moonshine [5]. Recall that each McKay-Thompson series T_g is associated with a certain discrete group

$$\Gamma_0(N|h)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b/h \\ Nc & d\end{pmatrix}\in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})\mid a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}\ , \tag{3.5}$$

where N is the order of the Monster class g, and h is some integer such that $h|\gcd(N,24)$. This group (sometimes with the inclusion of some Atkin-Lehner involutions of $\Gamma_0(N)$, see

---

[4] The classes which are power conjugated, for example 7A and 7B, give rise to the same twining genus, so that we denote them as a unique class 7AB. The twining genera for the classes 1A, 2A, 3A, 4B, 5A and 6A were also found in [8].

[5] The twining characters for 2B and 4A were also found in [7].

[5]) is the (restricted) eigengroup of T_g, *i.e.* the group under which T_g is invariant up to h-th roots of unity. In particular, T_g is invariant (without any phases) under $\Gamma_0(Nh) \subset \Gamma_0(N)$, while under the cosets of $\Gamma_0(N|h)/\Gamma_0(Nh)$, that are represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3.6}$$

it transforms as

$$T_g\Big(\tau + \frac{1}{h}\Big) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{h}} T_g(\tau) \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_g\Big(\frac{\tau}{N\tau+1}\Big) = e^{\pm\frac{2\pi i}{h}} T_g(\tau) \ . \tag{3.7}$$

The two cosets in (3.6) generate $\Gamma_0(N|h)$, so that (3.7) uniquely determines the multiplier system under $\Gamma_0(N|h)$.

It is then natural to expect that analogous properties hold for the twining genera of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. The most obvious generalisation would be to require the twining genus ϕ_g, with $g \in \mathbb{M}_{24}$ and $o(g) = N$, to be a Jacobi form (with a suitable multiplier system) of weight 0 and index 1 under $\Gamma_0(N|h)$, for some $h|\gcd(N, 24)$. However, there is one immediate problem with this proposal: for $h > 1$, $\Gamma_0(N|h)$ is not contained in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and it is not clear how to define the action of the whole $\Gamma_0(N|h)$ on Jacobi forms.[6] Thus we can only analyse the modular properties under the subgroup $\Gamma_0(N|h) \cap \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma_0(N)$. This then leads to the following conjecture:

Conjecture. *For all the conjugacy classes g of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, the twining character $\phi_g(\tau, z)$ is a Jacobi form of index one and weight zero under $\Gamma_0(N)$, with a multiplier system defined by*[7]

$$\phi_g\Big(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{z}{c\tau+d}\Big) = e^{\frac{2\pi i cd}{Nh}}\, e^{\frac{2\pi i\, cz^2}{c\tau+d}}\, \phi_g(\tau, z) \ , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \ , \tag{3.8}$$

where N is the order of g and $h|\gcd(N, 12)$. The multiplier system is trivial ($h = 1$) if and only if g contains a representative in $\mathbb{M}_{23} \subset \mathbb{M}_{24}$.

For the classes in (3.2) that have representatives in $\mathbb{M}_{23}$ the conjecture has been shown in [7]. In the next subsections, we will show that the conjecture is also true for the remaining classes, *i.e.* the elements in (3.3), with the values of h as given in table 1.

Class	2B	3B	4A	4C	6B	10A	12A	12B	21AB
h	2	3	2	4	6	2	2	12	3

Table 1: Value of h for the conjugacy classes in (3.3).

Because of these non-trivial multiplier systems, the analysis is quite difficult, and we have applied two different strategies. First we have refined the method of [8] by considering the twining genus $\phi_g(\tau, z)$ as a function of τ at special values of z. These values are chosen in such a way that the z-dependent exponential factor in the transformation formula (3.8) cancels (part of) the h-th root of unity. If the phase is completely removed (as is the case for all but two classes), the resulting function is a modular function for $\Gamma_0(N)$, which can be easily analysed. Using this approach (as well as some guess work for the other two cases) we have succeeded in finding closed formulae for all the characters with $h > 1$, see section 3.1.

---

[6] A well-defined action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on Jacobi forms can be defined, see [11]. However, this action does not respect the periodicity condition on z, and thus does not seem to be relevant in the current context.

[7] We thank Miranda Cheng and John Duncan for pointing out an error in a previous version of this formula.

The other strategy follows the idea advocated in [7] and consists of expanding the twining genus in terms of standard weak Jacobi forms. This reduces the problem to finding a suitable modular form of weight two. This problem can be studied systematically, but usually leads to more complicated computations. In section 3.2 we shall explain the salient features of this analysis, while the explicit formulae for all characters are given in appendix C.

3.1 Characters evaluated at fixed values of z

Let $\phi(\tau, z)$ be a weak Jacobi form of weight 0 and index 1, transforming as in (3.8), for some N and h with $h|\gcd(N, 12)$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\Big(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{k}{h}\Big) &= \phi\Big(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{k(c\tau+d)}{h(c\tau+d)}\Big) = e^{\frac{2\pi icd}{Nh}}\, e^{\frac{2\pi i\, k^2 c(c\tau+d)}{h^2}}\, \phi\Big(\tau, \frac{k(c\tau+d)}{h}\Big) \\
&= e^{\frac{2\pi icd}{Nh}}\, e^{\frac{2\pi ik^2 c(c\tau+d)}{h^2}}\, e^{-2\pi i(\frac{k^2c^2}{h^2}\tau+2\frac{k^2cd}{h^2})}\, \phi\Big(\tau, \frac{kd}{h}\Big) \\
&= e^{2\pi icd(-\frac{k^2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{Nh})}\, \phi\Big(\tau, \frac{kd}{h}\Big)\ , \qquad \text{for} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used (2.3) in the second line. (Note that $c \in N\mathbb{Z}$, and hence $\frac{c}{h} \in \mathbb{Z}$.) Let us define

$$\Phi^{(h)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\varphi(h)} \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z})^*} \phi\Big(\tau, \frac{k}{h}\Big)\ , \tag{3.10}$$

where $(\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z})^*$ is the set of totatives of h, *i.e.* the positive integers smaller than h that are relatively prime to h, and the Euler totient function $\varphi(h)$ is the number of all such totatives. This definition simplifies considerably in concrete examples

$$\Phi^{(h)}(\tau) = \phi(\tau, \tfrac{1}{h})\ , \qquad\qquad h = 2, 3, 4, 6\ , \tag{3.11}$$

$$\Phi^{(12)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi(\tau, \tfrac{1}{12}) + \phi(\tau, \tfrac{5}{12})\big)\ , \tag{3.12}$$

because $\phi(\tau, z) = \phi(\tau, -z)$ for Jacobi forms of even weight. It is easy to verify that, for all $h|12$, the condition $\gcd(k, h) = 1$ implies $k^2 \equiv 1 \mod h$. Furthermore, for $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(N)$, the condition $ad - bc = 1$ implies $\gcd(d, h) = 1$, so that the map $k \mapsto kd$ is bijective on $(\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z})^*$. Thus we conclude

$$\Phi^{(h)}\Big(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\Big) = e^{2\pi icd(-\frac{1}{h^2}+\frac{1}{Nh})}\, \Phi^{(h)}(\tau)\ , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)\ , \tag{3.13}$$

and it follows immediately that $\Phi^{(h)}(\tau)$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(Nh)$. Since h divides N, the cosets of $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_0(Nh)$ are generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and the phase in (3.13) cancels under this transformation if and only if

$$\frac{N}{h} \equiv 1 \mod h \ . \tag{3.14}$$

Thus $\Phi^{(h)}$ is actually invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$ if (3.14) holds. In this case, it is easy to obtain a closed formula for $\Phi^{(h)}_g$. In fact, with the exception of $N = 21$, all the groups $\Gamma_0(N)$ we are interested in are genus zero[8], so that all modular functions must be rational functions of the corresponding Hauptmodul.

As it turns out the condition (3.14) is satisfied for all classes in (3.3), with the exception of 4A and 12A for which $h = 2$ and hence $N/h \equiv 0 \bmod h$. In all other cases we found a modular function for $\Gamma_0(N)$ which matches the first few coefficients in the q-expansion that can be determined from (2.9) and (2.10). This function is either a constant or a fractional linear transformation of the Hauptmodul (in fact, a McKay-Thompson series) for $\Gamma_0(N)$. For the class 21AB it is a rational function in the McKay-Thompson series $T_{[21\mathrm{B}]}$ and $T_{[21\mathrm{D}]}$, that are modular functions for $\Gamma_0(21)$ (for a q-expansion of these McKay Thompson series see appendix A). Our explicit expressions are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^{(2)}_{2\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = -4 && h = 2\\
&\Phi^{(3)}_{3\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = -3 && h = 3\\
&\Phi^{(4)}_{4\mathrm{C}}(\tau) = -2 && h = 4\\
&\Phi^{(6)}_{6\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = -1 && h = 6\\
&\Phi^{(2)}_{10\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = -4\frac{\eta(5\tau)\eta(2\tau)^5}{\eta(10\tau)\eta(\tau)^5} = \frac{-20}{T_{[10\mathrm{E}]}-3} - 4 && h = 2\\
&\Phi^{(12)}_{12\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = -2 - 6\frac{\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(3\tau)\eta(12\tau)^3}{\eta(\tau)^3\eta(4\tau)\eta(6\tau)^2} = \frac{-6}{T_{[12\mathrm{I}]}-3} - 2 && h = 12\\
&\Phi^{(3)}_{21AB}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{2}\frac{\eta(3\tau)\eta(7\tau)^3}{\eta(\tau)^3\eta(21\tau)} = \frac{-T_{[21\mathrm{B}]} + 7T_{[21\mathrm{D}]} + 15}{-2T_{[21\mathrm{B}]} + 2} && h = 3 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Since $\phi_g(\tau, z)$ is a Jacobi form of index one, we have

$$\phi_g(\tau, z) = \phi_g(\tau, 0)\,\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau, z)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau, 0)^2} + \phi_g(\tau, \tfrac{1}{2})\,\frac{\vartheta_1(\tau, z)^2}{\vartheta_2(\tau, 0)^2} \ , \tag{3.16}$$

so that (3.15) immediately gives a formula for the corresponding character at generic z

$$\phi_g(\tau, z) = \Phi^{(h)}_g(\tau)\,\varphi(h)\Big(\sum_{k\in(\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z})^*} \vartheta_1\Big(\tau, \frac{k}{h}\Big)^2\Big)^{-1}\vartheta_1(\tau, z)^2 \ , \tag{3.17}$$

where we also used the fact that, for all g in (3.3),

$$\phi_g(\tau, 0) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{23}\oplus\mathbf{1}}(g) = 0 \ . \tag{3.18}$$

---

[8] Genus zero here means that the Riemann surface obtained by quotienting the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ by $\Gamma_0(N)$ has the topology of the sphere.

The remaining two cases, 4A and 12A, are not invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$, and thus require more work. For 4A a closed formula was already found in [7, 8]

$$\phi_{4\mathrm{A}}(\tau,\tfrac{1}{2}) = -4 - 32\frac{\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(8\tau)^4}{\eta(\tau)^4\eta(4\tau)^2} = -4 - \frac{32}{T_{8E}(\tau)+4} \qquad h=2\ , \tag{3.19}$$

and it is easy to verify that the corresponding $\phi_{4\mathrm{A}}(\tau,z)$ transforms as in (3.8) under $\Gamma_0(4)$ with $h=2$. We have also managed to find a closed formula for the NS-character χ_g of 12A at $z=\frac{1}{6}$

$$\chi_{12\mathrm{A}}(\tau,\tfrac{1}{6}) = \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\frac{3\tau}{2})^2\eta(4\tau)^2\eta(6\tau)^3}{\eta(2\tau)\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})^2\eta(12\tau)^2\eta(3\tau)^3}\ , \tag{3.20}$$

from which the function $\phi_{12\mathrm{A}}(\tau,z)$ can be reconstructed. However, it is easier to analyse the modular properties of $\phi_{12\mathrm{A}}(\tau,z)$ using the methods described in the next subsection.

3.2 Studying the modular forms of weight two

Every weak Jacobi form of index 1 can be written as a linear combination of the standard Jacobi forms $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{-2,1}$ of weight 0 and -2, respectively (see appendix A), where the coefficients lie in the space of modular forms under the relevant subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ [11]. In particular,

$$\phi_g(\tau,z) = B_g\,\phi_{0,1}(\tau,z) + F_g(\tau)\,\phi_{-2,1}(\tau,z)\ , \tag{3.21}$$

where B_g is the constant

$$B_g = \frac{1}{12}\phi_g(\tau,0) = \frac{1}{24}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{23}\oplus\mathbf{1}}(g)\ , \tag{3.22}$$

while F_g is a suitable modular form of weight two. If g is in (3.2), the phases in (3.8) are trivial, and $F_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(N))$, where $M_k(\Gamma)$ denotes the space of modular form of weight k under the group Γ. In [7], it has been shown that, for all g in (3.2), there is a unique $F_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ matching the known coefficients of the q-expansion of ϕ_g (see also appendix C).

If g is one of the classes in (3.3), then $B_g = 0$ and the conjecture (3.8) would imply that F_g transforms as

$$F_g\Big(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\Big) = e^{\frac{2\pi i c d}{Nh}}(c\tau+d)^2F(\tau)\ , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)\ , \tag{3.23}$$

where N is the order of g and $h|\gcd(N,12)$. In particular, this means that

$$F_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(Nh))\ , \qquad F_g^h \in M_{2h}(\Gamma_0(N))\ . \tag{3.24}$$

Conversely, if these conditions hold, then F_g transforms as a modular form of weight 2 under $\Gamma_0(N)$ up to a certain h-th root of unity $e^{\frac{2\pi i r c d}{Nh}}$, for some $r\in\mathbb{Z}$.

For all g in (3.3), we have found modular forms $F_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(Nh))$ for the above values of h that reproduce the first few coefficients of q as determined from (2.9) and (2.10), and satisfy $F_g^h \in M_{2h}(\Gamma_0(N))$. The explicit expressions for F_g (and F_g^h) in terms of suitable bases of $M_2(\Gamma_0(Nh))$ (and $M_{2h}(\Gamma_0(N))$) are quite complicated, and are therefore only given in appendix C.

It remains to prove that the h-th root of unity $e^{\frac{2\pi ircd}{Nh}}$ in the modular transformation of F_g is the same as in (3.8), *i.e.* that $r = 1$. We are mainly interested in the class 12A because the other cases have already been dealt with in the previous subsection. For 12A we have found $h = 2$, and since the phase is non-trivial (as can be easily checked), the only possibility is $r = 1$. This completes our analysis.

4. Decomposition into irreducible representations

In the previous sections, we provided explicit expressions for all twining genera, matching the first few coefficients in (2.12) and transforming as in (3.8). The knowledge of all such characters also leads to another very stringent consistency check of the proposal. It allows us to check whether there are indeed underlying $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations H_n such that $A_n(g) = \mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g)$, where $A_n(g)$ is the coefficient replacing A_n in ϕ_g, see (2.12).

In order to understand how this works, let us assume that $A_n(g) = \mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g)$, where H_n is a $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representation which we decompose as

$$H_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{26} h_{n,i}\, R_i \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, 26$ labels the different irreducible representations of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, numbered as in table 3. To start with we rewrite (2.12) as

$$-\mathrm{Tr}_{H_0}(g) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Tr}_{H_n}(g) q^n = q^{\frac{1}{8}} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\vartheta_1(\tau,z)^2} \Big(2\phi_g(\tau,z) - \mathrm{Tr}_{H_{00}}(g)\, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=0}(\tau,z) \Big) \ , \tag{4.2}$$

where we have used (2.7). Next we recall that the characters of a finite group satisfy the orthonormality relations

$$\sum_g c(g)\ \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_R(g)}\ \mathrm{Tr}_{R'}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R \cong R' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

where R and R' are two irreducible representations of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. Here the sum runs over all conjugacy classes of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, and $c(g)^{-1}$ is the order of the centraliser of g

$$c(g) = \frac{n(g)}{|\mathbb{M}_{24}|} \ , \qquad \text{with} \quad |\mathbb{M}_{24}| = 2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23 \tag{4.4}$$

the order of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ and $n(g)$ the number of elements in the conjugacy class of g. Using (4.3) we now obtain from (4.2)

$$-2\delta_{i,1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,i}\, q^n = q^{\frac{1}{8}} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\vartheta_1(\tau,z)^2} \Big(2 \sum_g c(g) \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_{R_i}(g)}\, \phi_g(\tau,z) - (\delta_{i,1} + \delta_{i,2})\, \mathrm{ch}^{\mathcal{N}=4}_{h=\frac{1}{4},l=0}(\tau,z) \Big) \ , \tag{4.5}$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, 26$. In deriving (4.5) we have used that $H_{00} = R_1 \oplus R_2 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{23}$ and $H_0 = 2 \cdot R_1 = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}$. For $i > 2$, (4.5) simplifies, and we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,i}\, q^n = -2q^{\frac{1}{8}} \frac{\sum_g c(g)\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_{R_i}(g)}\, F_g(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^3} = -2\frac{\sum_g c(g)\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_{R_i}(g)}\, F_g(\tau)}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^3}\ , \qquad i > 2\ , \quad (4.6)$$

where we used (3.21), as well as (3.22) and (4.3) again. Furthermore, we have plugged in the explicit expression for $\phi_{-2,1}$ from (A.7).

The character values $\mathrm{Tr}_{R_i}(g)$ are given in table 3, and the explicit values of $c(g)$ are tabulated in table 2. The analysis of the previous sections provide closed formulae for the twining characters $\phi_g(\tau, z)$ for all conjugacy classes g in $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, so that the right hand side of (4.5) can be easily evaluated. Thus we can determine the multiplicities $h_{n,i}$ explicitly. The statement that there are underlying $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations H_n is now simply equivalent to the property of the multiplicities $h_{n,i}$ to be non-negative integers. We have worked out these multiplicities for $n \leq 500$, and all of them are indeed non-negative integers; the explicit values for $n \leq 30$ are listed in table 4.

Since all the characters we have constructed have real coefficients, the multiplicities of conjugate representations are always equal, so that all H_n are real representations, as expected. It is also remarkable that the multiplicities of the real irreducible representations in (4.1) are always even, at least, up to $n = 500$ (see also [7]). This suggests that the actual symmetry group may be slightly bigger than the Mathieu group $\mathbb{M}_{24}$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we have accumulated compelling evidence for the conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa [1] that the states contributing to the elliptic genus of K3 carry an action of the Mathieu group $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. More specifically, we have found closed form expressions for the twining genera of K3 for all conjugacy classes of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, thus completing the programme initiated in [7, 8]. We have shown that the twining genera transform indeed as Jacobi forms of index one and weight zero under $\Gamma_0(N)$, where N is the order of the corresponding group element. The twining genera of the conjugacy classes that have no representative contained in $\mathbb{M}_{23} \subset \mathbb{M}_{24}$ have a non-trivial multiplier system, which we have identified, see eq. (3.8).

The explicit knowledge of all twining genera allows one to determine the decomposition of the elliptic genus of K3 in terms of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ representations, and we have checked that the multiplicities with which these representations appear are indeed non-negative integers, at least for the first 500 coefficients — see also table 4 for explicit results for $n \leq 30$. This is a highly non-trivial consistency check; indeed, if we were able to show that *all* of these multiplicities are non-negative integers, this would effectively prove the conjecture of [1].

Another, more conceptual, proof of the conjecture would consist of constructing explicitly the action of $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ on the BPS states contributing to the elliptic genus of K3. For some generators in $\mathbb{M}_{23} \subset \mathbb{M}_{24}$ this can be done fairly directly since they describe geometric automorphisms of the K3 surface [15, 16], see also [18] for some recent progress in this direction. However, it seems unlikely that these purely geometrical symmetries will suffice to account for the full $\mathbb{M}_{24}$ symmetry of the elliptic genus. In fact, one may guess that one will need to consider the full moduli space of the non-linear sigma model in order to achieve this. Thus at least some of the required symmetries may have an interpretation in terms of truly stringy symmetries, such as *e.g.* T-duality. It would be very interesting to explore these ideas in more detail [19].

On a more technical note, it is amusing to note (see also [8]) that, in contrast to the McKay-Thompson series in Monstrous Moonshine [5], not all twining genera seem to be Hauptmoduls for genus zero congruence subgroups (although many are). For example, the twining character 21AB, for which the relevant modular group, $\Gamma_0(21)$, is not genus 0. This fact is also reflected in equation (3.15) where we have given the explicit expression of $\Phi^{(3)}_{21\mathrm{AB}}(\tau)$ as a modular form of $\Gamma_0(21)$. Unlike the remaining twining characters, it cannot be written as a rational function of a single modular function (the Hauptmodul) but rather involves two functions (the McKay-Thompson series $T_{[21\mathrm{B}]}$ and $T_{[21\mathrm{D}]}$). It would be very interesting to understand what the significance of the genus zero property in this context is.

Acknowledgments

We thank Terry Gannon for useful communications and John McKay for inspiring discussions. The research of MRG and SH is partially supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the research of RV is supported by an INFN Fellowship.

Class	$c(g)^{-1}$	Class	$c(g)^{-1}$	Class	$c(g)^{-1}$
1A	244823040	6A	24	4C	96
2A	21504	11A	11	3B	504
3A	1080	15AB	15	2B	7680
5A	60	14AB	14	10A	20
4B	128	23AB	23	21AB	21
7AB	42	12B	12	4A	384
8A	16	6B	24	12A	12

Table 2: Order $c(g)^{-1}$ of the centraliser of the class g.

Table 3: The character table of the Mathieu group $\mathbb{M}_{24}$. The rows correspond to the representations R_i, numbered from 1 to 26, while the columns describe the different conjugacy classes. Finally, $e_p^{\pm} = (-1 \pm i\sqrt{p})/2$.

i	1A	2A	3A	5A	4B	7A	7B	8A	6A	11A	15A	15B	14A	14B	23A	23B	12B	6B	4C	3B	2B	10A	21A	21B	4A	12A
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	23	7	5	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1	−1
3	252	28	9	2	4	0	0	0	1	−1	−1	−1	0	0	−1	−1	0	0	0	0	12	2	0	0	4	1
4	253	13	10	3	1	1	1	−1	−2	0	0	0	−1	−1	0	0	1	1	1	1	−11	−1	1	1	−3	0
5	1771	−21	16	1	−5	0	0	−1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	−1	−1	−1	7	11	1	0	0	3	0
6	3520	64	10	0	0	−1	−1	0	−2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	−8	0	0	−1	−1	0	0
7	45	−3	0	0	1	e_7^+	e_7^-	−1	0	1	0	0	$-e_7^+$	$-e_7^-$	−1	−1	1	−1	1	3	5	0	e_7^-	e_7^+	−3	0
8	45	−3	0	0	1	e_7^-	e_7^+	−1	0	1	0	0	$-e_7^-$	$-e_7^+$	−1	−1	1	−1	1	3	5	0	e_7^+	e_7^-	−3	0
9	990	−18	0	0	2	e_7^+	e_7^-	0	0	0	0	0	e_7^+	e_7^-	1	1	1	−1	−2	3	−10	0	e_7^-	e_7^+	6	0
10	990	−18	0	0	2	e_7^-	e_7^+	0	0	0	0	0	e_7^-	e_7^+	1	1	1	−1	−2	3	−10	0	e_7^+	e_7^-	6	0
11	1035	−21	0	0	3	$2e_7^+$	$2e_7^-$	−1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	−1	1	−1	−3	−5	0	$-e_7^-$	$-e_7^+$	3	0
12	1035	−21	0	0	3	$2e_7^-$	$2e_7^+$	−1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	−1	1	−1	−3	−5	0	$-e_7^+$	$-e_7^-$	3	0
13	1035	27	0	0	−1	−1	−1	1	0	1	0	0	−1	−1	0	0	0	2	3	6	35	0	−1	−1	3	0
14	231	7	−3	1	−1	0	0	−1	1	0	e_{15}^+	e_{15}^-	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	−9	1	0	0	−1	−1
15	231	7	−3	1	−1	0	0	−1	1	0	e_{15}^-	e_{15}^+	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	−9	1	0	0	−1	−1
16	770	−14	5	0	−2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	e_{23}^+	e_{23}^-	1	1	−2	−7	10	0	0	0	2	−1
17	770	−14	5	0	−2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	e_{23}^-	e_{23}^+	1	1	−2	−7	10	0	0	0	2	−1
18	483	35	6	−2	3	0	0	−1	2	−1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	−2	0	0	3	0
19	1265	49	5	0	1	−2	−2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−3	8	−15	0	1	1	−7	−1
20	2024	8	−1	−1	0	1	1	0	−1	0	−1	−1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	24	−1	1	1	8	−1
21	2277	21	0	−3	1	2	2	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	−3	6	−19	1	−1	−1	−3	0
22	3312	48	0	−3	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	−1	−1	0	0	0	−2	0	−6	16	1	1	1	0	0
23	5313	49	−15	3	−3	0	0	−1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	−3	0	9	−1	0	0	1	1
24	5796	−28	−9	1	4	0	0	0	−1	−1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	1	0	0	−4	−1
25	5544	−56	9	−1	0	0	0	0	1	0	−1	−1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	24	−1	0	0	−8	1
26	10395	−21	0	0	−1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	−1	−1	0	0	3	0	−45	0	0	0	3	0

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7, 8	9, 10	11, 12	13	14, 15	16, 17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
n	**1**	**23**	**252**	**253**	**1771**	**3520**	**45**	**990**	**1035**	**1035**	**231**	**770**	**483**	**1265**	**2024**	**2277**	**3312**	**5313**	**5796**	**5544**	**10395**
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	2
8	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	4	2	2	6
9	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	4	4	8	8	10
10	0	0	0	2	4	8	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	4	4	6	6	12	10	10	24
11	0	0	0	0	8	12	0	4	4	6	0	4	0	2	10	8	14	22	26	24	40
12	0	2	2	4	12	30	0	8	8	4	2	6	4	12	12	18	26	40	40	38	80
13	0	0	4	2	26	44	2	14	14	18	2	10	6	16	30	28	44	70	84	80	136
14	0	0	4	6	38	86	0	24	24	22	8	16	14	34	46	58	80	128	132	126	254
15	0	0	12	8	78	144	2	40	44	46	8	38	18	46	86	88	138	218	246	238	424
16	0	2	18	22	122	252	2	72	72	68	18	50	36	100	140	170	232	378	400	382	742
17	0	2	30	26	212	410	8	116	124	130	25	94	54	140	246	262	392	630	704	670	1222
18	0	6	50	58	342	704	6	194	202	192	50	148	100	256	388	454	654	1044	1120	1074	2058
19	0	4	80	72	582	1116	18	318	332	346	68	252	150	394	664	722	1062	1702	1880	1800	3320
20	0	14	128	138	904	1836	20	516	536	520	126	390	254	676	1036	1196	1716	2764	2980	2846	5408
21	2	20	214	200	1476	2902	40	814	860	872	182	652	396	1020	1684	1862	2742	4384	4828	4622	8572
22	2	32	328	346	2302	4616	55	1298	1348	1336	314	988	640	1686	2630	3000	4324	6950	7532	7204	13620
23	2	40	512	496	3638	7166	98	2020	2118	2144	460	1590	972	2546	4162	4624	6768	10856	11898	11376	21204
24	0	80	798	824	5584	11192	132	3140	3278	3236	744	2426	1544	4050	6376	7248	10500	16834	18294	17504	32976
25	8	108	1232	1208	8654	17084	234	4814	5038	5084	1106	3764	2336	6108	9892	11042	16112	25840	28288	27056	50524
26	6	174	1860	1904	13090	26148	322	7348	7670	7626	1742	5677	3602	9444	14968	16940	24566	39428	42894	41022	77176
27	12	252	2836	2802	19914	39436	514	11092	11618	11666	2560	8688	5394	14100	22744	25462	37148	59564	65114	62294	116494
28	16	398	4238	4310	29772	59330	742	16686	17418	17356	3922	12912	8160	21414	34026	38434	55764	89490	97456	93218	175146
29	26	560	6328	6286	44512	88280	1154	24840	25994	26078	5758	19380	12090	31636	50892	57068	83146	133356	145690	139342	260828
30	34	876	9368	9486	65776	131020	1642	36824	38480	38368	8642	28580	18008	47172	75158	84776	123176	197596	215318	205970	386724

Table 4: Multiplicities $h_{n,i}$ in the decomposition of the representations $H_n = \oplus_{i=1}^{26} h_{n,i} R_i$, for $n \leq 30$. The representations R_i are numbered as in table 3, in the second row the dimension is given. Pairs of conjugate representations are listed together, because they always appear with the same multiplicities.

A. Definitions

Our conventions for the Dedekind eta and the Jacobi theta functions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\tau) &= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n) \\
\vartheta_1(\tau,z) &= -iq^{\frac{1}{8}}y^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)(1-yq^n)(1-y^{-1}q^{n-1}) \\
\vartheta_2(\tau,z) &= 2\,q^{\frac{1}{8}}\cos(\pi z) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)\,(1+yq^n)(1+y^{-1}q^n) \\
\vartheta_3(\tau,z) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)\,(1+yq^{n-1/2})(1+y^{-1}q^{n-1/2}) \\
\vartheta_4(\tau,z) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)\,(1-yq^{n-1/2})(1-y^{-1}q^{n-1/2}) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Under modular transformations the ϑ and η functions transform as

$$\vartheta_1(\tau+1,z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8}}\,\vartheta_1(\tau,z) \qquad \vartheta_1(-\tfrac{1}{\tau},\tfrac{z}{\tau}) = -(-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{\frac{i\pi z^2}{\tau}}\,\vartheta_1(\tau,z)\ , \tag{A.2}$$

$$\vartheta_2(\tau+1,z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8}}\,\vartheta_2(\tau,z) \qquad \vartheta_2(-\tfrac{1}{\tau},\tfrac{z}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{\frac{i\pi z^2}{\tau}}\,\vartheta_4(\tau,z)\ , \tag{A.3}$$

$$\vartheta_3(\tau+1,z) = \vartheta_4(\tau,z) \qquad \vartheta_3(-\tfrac{1}{\tau},\tfrac{z}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{\frac{i\pi z^2}{\tau}}\,\vartheta_3(\tau,z)\ , \tag{A.4}$$

$$\vartheta_4(\tau+1,z) = \vartheta_3(\tau,z) \qquad \vartheta_4(-\tfrac{1}{\tau},\tfrac{z}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{\frac{i\pi z^2}{\tau}}\,\vartheta_2(\tau,z)\ , \tag{A.5}$$

as well as

$$\eta(\tau+1) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{24}}\,\eta(\tau) \qquad \eta(-\tfrac{1}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\eta(\tau)\ . \tag{A.6}$$

The theta constants $\vartheta_a(\tau)$ are defined as $\vartheta_a(\tau) \equiv \vartheta_a(\tau, z=0)$. The standard weak Jacobi forms $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{-2,1}$ of index 1 and weight 0 and 2 can be defined as [11]

$$\phi_{0,1}(\tau,z) = 4\sum_{i=2}^{4}\frac{\vartheta_i(\tau,z)^2}{\vartheta_i(\tau,0)^2}\ , \qquad \phi_{-2,1}(\tau,z) = -\frac{\vartheta_1(\tau,z)^2}{\eta(\tau)^6}\ . \tag{A.7}$$

For completeness we give here the first few terms of the McKay-Thompson series that appear in our analysis

$$T_{[8\mathrm{E}]} = \frac{1}{q} + 4q + 2q^3 - 8q^5 - q^7 + 20q^9 - 2q^{11} - 40q^{13} + \cdots\ , \tag{A.8}$$

$$T_{[10\mathrm{E}]} = \frac{1}{q} + q + 2q^2 + 2q^3 - 2q^4 - q^5 - 4q^7 - 2q^8 + 5q^9 + 2q^{10} + 8q^{12} + \cdots\ , \tag{A.9}$$

$$T_{[12\mathrm{I}]} = \frac{1}{q} + 2q + q^3 - 2q^7 - 2q^9 + 2q^{11} + 4q^{13} + 3q^{15} + \cdots\ , \tag{A.10}$$

$$T_{[21\mathrm{B}]} = \frac{1}{q} - q - q^2 + q^3 + 2q^4 - q^5 + 3q^6 - q^7 - q^8 - 2q^9 + q^{11} + \cdots\ , \tag{A.11}$$

$$T_{[21\mathrm{D}]} = \frac{1}{q} + 5q + 8q^2 + 16q^3 + 26q^4 + 44q^5 + 66q^6 + 104q^7 + \cdots\ , \tag{A.12}$$

see [17, 20] for more information about these series.

B. Modular forms for $\Gamma_0(N)$

In this section, we will describe a basis of the space $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ of modular forms of weight k under $\Gamma_0(N)$. Our main references for this section are [21, 22].

The space $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ of modular forms of weight k splits into a direct sum

$$M_k(\Gamma_0(N)) = E_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \ , \tag{B.1}$$

where $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is the space of cusp forms, *i.e.* forms they vanish at all the cusps[9] of $\overline{\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(N)}$. The space $E_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is defined as the unique subspace satisfying (B.1) that is invariant under the action of all Hecke operators [22].

A convenient basis for $E_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is given by (generalised) Eisenstein series [23]. Let

$$E_k(\tau) = -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}\Big) q^n \tag{B.2}$$

be the standard Eisenstein series of weight k, where B_k are the Bernoulli numbers. For $k > 2$, k even, E_k is a modular form of weight k under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, whereas

$$E_2\Big(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\Big) = (c\tau + d)^2 E_2(\tau) - \frac{1}{4\pi i} c(c\tau + d) \ . \tag{B.3}$$

The definition of the Eisenstein series can be generalised to include modular forms under $\Gamma_0(N)$. In particular,[10]

$$\psi^{(N)} = q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \log \frac{\eta(N\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} = E_2(\tau) - N E_2(N\tau) \tag{B.4}$$

is a modular form of weight 2 under $\Gamma_0(N)$. The (generalised) Eisenstein series

$$E_k^{\chi_m}(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{d|n} \overline{\chi_m(d)}\, \chi_m(n/d)\, d^{k-1}\Big) q^n \ , \tag{B.5}$$

where k is even and χ_m is a non-trivial Dirichlet character of modulus m, is a modular form of weight k under $\Gamma_0(m^2)$. The only cases we need are

$$E_k^{(9)} = E_k^{\chi_3} \in M_k(\Gamma_0(9)) \ , \quad E_k^{(16)} = E_k^{\chi_4} \in M_k(\Gamma_0(16)) \ , \quad E_k^{(144)} = E_k^{\chi_{12}} \in M_k(\Gamma_0(144)) \ , \tag{B.6}$$

where χ_3, χ_4 and χ_{12} are the primitive Dirichlet characters of modulus 3, 4 and 12, that are uniquely determined by

$$\chi_3(2) = -1 \ , \qquad \chi_4(3) = -1 \ , \qquad \chi_{12}(5) = \chi_{12}(7) = -1 \ . \tag{B.7}$$

---

[9] The cusps correspond to $\Gamma_0(N)$-orbits in $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$, with $\Gamma_0(N)$ acting by fractional linear transformations.

[10] The Eisenstein series $\psi^{(N)}$ are related to the modular forms $\phi^{(N)}$ in [7] by $\psi^{(N)} = \frac{N-1}{24}\phi^{(N)}(\tau)$.

In general, the space $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is not generated by Eisenstein series. It is obvious that if $M|N$, then $M_k(\Gamma_0(M)) \subset M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$. More generally, it is easy to see that if $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(M))$, then, for any divisor n of N/M, $f(n\tau) \in \Gamma_0(N)$. For all $M|N$ and $n|(N/M)$, we define the map

$$\alpha_n : M_k(\Gamma_0(M)) \to M_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \tag{B.8}$$

$$f(\tau) \mapsto \alpha_n(f)(\tau) = f(n\tau) \ . \tag{B.9}$$

The map α_n sends cusp forms to cusp forms and the union of the images $\alpha_n(S_k(\Gamma_0(N/n))) \subseteq S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$, for all $n|N$, $n > 1$, is called the *old subspace* of cusp forms. The complement of the old subspace which is invariant under all Hecke operators is called the *new subspace.* Thus, we have a decomposition

$$S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) = \bigoplus_{M|N} \bigoplus_{n|(N/M)} \alpha_n(S_k(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}) \ , \tag{B.10}$$

where $S_k(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$ is the new subspace for $\Gamma_0(M)$. A basis for $S_k(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$ for the cases of interest are listed below; a more extended list of their coefficients can be found at `http://modi.countnumber.de/`. Some Fourier expansions have been computed using SAGE (`http://www.sagemath.org/`).

- Cusp forms $f_M \in S_2(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$ — if there is more than one generator, the different generators are denoted by $f_{M,a}, f_{M,b}, \ldots$.

$$f_{21}(\tau) = q - q^2 + q^3 - q^4 - 2q^5 - q^6 - q^7 + 3q^8 + q^9 + 2q^{10} + \cdots \tag{B.11}$$

$$f_{23,a}(\tau) = q - q^3 - q^4 - 2q^6 + 2q^7 - q^8 + 2q^9 + 2q^{10} + \cdots \tag{B.12}$$

$$f_{23,b}(\tau) = -q^2 + 2q^3 + q^4 - 2q^5 - q^6 - 2q^7 + 2q^8 + 2q^{10} + \cdots \tag{B.13}$$

$$f_{24}(\tau) = q - q^3 - 2q^5 + q^9 + 4q^{11} - 2q^{13} + 2q^{15} + 2q^{17} + \cdots \tag{B.14}$$

$$f_{48}(\tau) = q + q^3 - 2q^5 + q^9 - 4q^{11} - 2q^{13} - 2q^{15} + 2q^{17} + \cdots \tag{B.15}$$

$$f_{63,a}(\tau) = q + q^2 - q^4 + 2q^5 - q^7 - 3q^8 + 2q^{10} - 4q^{11} - 2q^{13} + \cdots \tag{B.16}$$

$$f_{63,b}(\tau) = q + q^4 + q^7 - 6q^{10} + 2q^{13} - 5q^{16} + \cdots \tag{B.17}$$

$$f_{63,c}(\tau) = q^2 - 2q^5 - q^8 + 2q^{11} + q^{14} + 2q^{17} + \cdots \tag{B.18}$$

$$f_{72}(\tau) = q + 2q^5 - 4q^{11} - 2q^{13} - 2q^{17} - 4q^{19} + \cdots \tag{B.19}$$

$$f_{144,a}(\tau) = q + 4q^7 + 2q^{13} - 8q^{19} - 5q^{25} + 4q^{31} + \cdots \tag{B.20}$$

$$f_{144,b}(\tau) = q + 2q^5 + 4q^{11} - 2q^{13} - 2q^{17} + 4q^{19} + \cdots \ . \tag{B.21}$$

- Cusp forms $g_M \in S_4(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$

$$g_5(\tau) = q - 4q^2 + 2q^3 + 8q^4 - 5q^5 - 8q^6 + 6q^7 - 23q^9 + \cdots \tag{B.22}$$

$$g_6(\tau) = q - 2q^2 - 3q^3 + 4q^4 + 6q^5 + 6q^6 - 16q^7 - 8q^8 + 9q^9 + \cdots \tag{B.23}$$

$$g_8(\tau) = q - 4q^3 - 2q^5 + 24q^7 - 11q^9 - 44q^{11} + 22q^{13} + \cdots \tag{B.24}$$

$$g_{10}(\tau) = q + 2q^2 - 8q^3 + 4q^4 + 5q^5 - 16q^6 - 4q^7 + 8q^8 + 37q^9 + \cdots \tag{B.25}$$

$$g_{12}(\tau) = q + 3q^3 - 18q^5 + 8q^7 + 9q^9 + 36q^{11} - 10q^{13} - 54q^{15} + \cdots \ . \tag{B.26}$$

- Cusp forms $h_M \in S_6(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_3(\tau) =& q - 6q^2 + 9q^3 + 4q^4 + 6q^5 - 54q^6 - 40q^7 + 168q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.27)}\\
h_{7,a}(\tau) =& q - 10q^2 - 14q^3 + 68q^4 - 56q^5 + 140q^6 - 49q^7 - 360q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.28)}\\
h_{7,b}(\tau) =& q + 4q^2 - 2q^4 - 14q^5 - 84q^6 + 49q^7 - 10q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.29)}\\
h_{7,c}(\tau) =& q^2 - 6q^3 + 9q^4 + 10q^5 - 30q^6 + 11q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.30)}\\
h_{21,a}(\tau) =& q + q^2 - 9q^3 - 31q^4 - 34q^5 - 9q^6 - 49q^7 - 63q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.31)}\\
h_{21,b}(\tau) =& q + 5q^2 + 9q^3 - 7q^4 + 94q^5 + 45q^6 - 49q^7 - 195q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.32)}\\
h_{21,c}(\tau) =& q - 6q^2 - 9q^3 + 4q^4 + 78q^5 + 54q^6 + 49q^7 + 168q^8 + \cdots && \text{(B.33)}\\
h_{21,d}(\tau) =& q + 10q^2 + 9q^3 + 68q^4 - 106q^5 + 90q^6 - 49q^7 + 360q^8 + \cdots\ . && \text{(B.34)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cusp forms $k_M \in S_8(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$

$$
k_2(\tau) = q - 8q^2 + 12q^3 + 64q^4 - 210q^5 - 96q^6 + 1016q^7 - 512q^8 + \cdots\ . \tag{B.35}
$$

- Cusp forms $l_M \in S_{12}(\Gamma_0(M))_{new}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_3(\tau) =& q + 78q^2 - 243q^3 + 4036q^4 - 5370q^5 - 18954q^6 - 27760q^7 + \cdots && \text{(B.36)}\\
l_{6,a}(\tau) =& q + 32q^2 + 243q^3 + 1024q^4 + 3630q^5 + 7776q^6 + 32936q^7 + \cdots && \text{(B.37)}\\
l_{6,b}(\tau) =& q - 32q^2 - 243q^3 + 1024q^4 + 5766q^5 + 7776q^6 + 72464q^7 + \cdots && \text{(B.38)}\\
l_{6,c}(\tau) =& q - 32q^2 + 243q^3 + 1024q^4 - 11730q^5 - 7776q^6 - 50008q^7 + \cdots\ . && \text{(B.39)}
\end{aligned}
$$

To summarise, $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is thus generated by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
k=2: & \psi^{(n)}(\tau) & \text{for } n|N\,,\ n>1\\
 & E_2^{\chi_m}(n\tau) & \text{for } nm^2|N\,,\ m>1\\
 & f_{M,a}(n\tau),\ f_{M,b}(n\tau),\ \ldots & \text{for } nM|N\\
 & & \\
k=4: & E_4(n\tau) & \text{for } n|N\\
 & E_4^{\chi_m}(n\tau) & \text{for } nm^2|N\,,\ m>1\\
 & g_{M,a}(n\tau),\ g_{M,b}(n\tau),\ \ldots & \text{for } nM|N\,,
\end{array}
\tag{B.40}
$$

and the cases $k=6,8,\ldots$ *etc.* are similar to $k=4$, with $g_{M,a}$ replaced by $h_{M,a}$, *etc.*

C. The modular forms of weight two

With the conventions of the previous appendix we can now give the explicit expressions for the modular forms $F_g \in M_2(\Gamma_0(Nh))$, as well as for $F_g^h \in M_{2h}(\Gamma_0(N))$. For the classes in (3.2) for which $h = 1$, the relevant formulae are [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{2\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = 16\psi^{(2)}(\tau)\\
&F_{3\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = 9\psi^{(3)}(\tau)\\
&F_{4\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = -4\psi^{(2)}(\tau) + 8\psi^{(4)}(\tau)\\
&F_{5\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = 5\psi^{(5)}(\tau)\\
&F_{6\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = -2\psi^{(2)}(\tau) - 3\psi^{(3)}(\tau) + 6\psi^{(6)}(\tau)\\
&F_{7\mathrm{AB}}(\tau) = \frac{7}{2}\psi^{(7)}(\tau)\\
&F_{8\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = -2\psi^{(4)}(\tau) + 4\psi^{(8)}(\tau)\ ,\\
&F_{11\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = \frac{11}{5}\big(\psi^{(11)}(\tau) - \eta(\tau)^2\eta(11\tau)^2\big)\\
&F_{14\mathrm{AB}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{6}\big(-2\psi^{(2)}(\tau) - 7\psi^{(7)}(\tau) + 14\psi^{(14)}(\tau) - 14\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(7\tau)\eta(14\tau)\big)\\
&F_{15\mathrm{AB}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{8}\big(-3\psi^{(3)}(\tau) - 5\psi^{(5)}(\tau) + 15\psi^{(15)}(\tau) - 15\eta(\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(5\tau)\eta(15\tau)\big)\\
&F_{23\mathrm{AB}} = \frac{23}{22}\big(\psi^{(23)}(\tau) - f_{23,a}(\tau) + 3f_{23,b}(\tau)\big)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

In the remaining cases we have found — the formulae for $F_{2\mathrm{B}}$ and $F_{4\mathrm{A}}$ were already obtained in [7]

- $g = 2\mathrm{B}$, $h = 2$

$$F_{2\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = -24\psi^{(2)}(\tau) + 16\psi^{(4)}(\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(4)) \tag{C.2}$$

$$F_{2\mathrm{B}}(\tau)^2 = -16E_4(\tau) + 256E_4(2\tau) \qquad \in M_4(\Gamma_0(2)) \tag{C.3}$$

- $g = 3\mathrm{B}$, $h = 3$

$$F_{3B}(\tau) = -6\psi^{(3)}(\tau) + \frac{9}{2}\psi^{(9)}(\tau) - \frac{9}{2}E_2^{(9)}(\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(9)) \tag{C.4}$$

$$F_{3B}(\tau)^3 = -1944\psi^{(3)}(\tau)^3 + \frac{45}{2}E_6(\tau) - \frac{2187}{2}E_6(3\tau) \qquad \in M_6(\Gamma_0(3)) \tag{C.5}$$

- $g = 4\mathrm{A}$, $h = 2$

$$F_{4\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = 4\psi^{(2)}(\tau) - 12\psi^{(4)}(\tau) + 8\psi^{(8)}(\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(8)) \tag{C.6}$$

$$F_{4\mathrm{A}}(\tau)^2 = -16E_4(2\tau) + 256E_4(4\tau) \qquad \in M_4(\Gamma_0(4)) \tag{C.7}$$

- $g = 4\mathrm{C}$, $h = 4$

$$F_{4\mathrm{C}}(\tau) = 2\psi^{(4)}(\tau) - 6\psi^{(8)}(\tau) + 4\psi^{(16)}(\tau) - 4E_2^{(16)}(\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(16)) \tag{C.8}$$

$$F_{4\mathrm{C}}(\tau)^4 = -\tfrac{32}{17}E_8(2\tau) + \tfrac{8192}{17}E_8(4\tau) - 16k_2(\tau) - \tfrac{512}{17}k_2(2\tau) \qquad \in M_8(\Gamma_0(4)) \tag{C.9}$$

- $g = 6\mathrm{B}$, $h = 6$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{6\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = &-\tfrac{3}{2}\psi^{(2)}(\tau) - 2\psi^{(3)}(\tau) + \psi^{(4)}(\tau) + 6\psi^{(6)}(\tau) + \tfrac{3}{2}\psi^{(9)}(\tau) - 4\psi^{(12)}(\tau) \\ &- \tfrac{9}{2}\psi^{(18)}(\tau) + 3\psi^{(36)}(\tau) - \tfrac{3}{2}E_2^{(9)}(\tau) \\ &- 9E_2^{(9)}(2\tau) - 12E_2^{(9)}(4\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(36))\end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{6\mathrm{B}}(\tau)^6 = &\, c_1E_{12}(\tau) + c_2E_{12}(2\tau) + c_3E_{12}(3\tau) + c_4E_{12}(6\tau) + c_5\Delta(\tau) \\ &+ c_6\Delta(2\tau) + c_7\Delta(3\tau) + c_8\Delta(6\tau) + c_9l_{6,a}(\tau) \\ &+ c_{10}l_{6,b}(\tau) + c_{11}l_{6,c}(\tau) + c_{12}l_3(\tau) + c_{13}l_3(2\tau) \qquad \in M_{12}(\Gamma_0(6))\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

where $\Delta(\tau) = ((240E_4(\tau))^3 - (504E_6(\tau)^2))/1728$ and

p	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
c_p	$\frac{1}{22951565}$	$-\frac{4096}{22951565}$	$-\frac{531441}{22951565}$	$\frac{2176782336}{22951565}$	$-\frac{189277}{58735}$	$-\frac{91776}{3455}$	$\frac{37712628}{58735}$	$\frac{27713664}{3455}$	$-\frac{297}{140}$	$-\frac{308}{145}$	$-\frac{95}{52}$	$-\frac{194697}{71978}$	$\frac{304128}{2117}$

- g =10A, $h = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{10\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = &\,\psi^{(2)}(\tau) - \frac{2}{3}\psi^{(4)}(\tau) + \frac{5}{3}\psi^{(5)}(\tau) - 5\psi^{(10)}(\tau) \\ &+ \frac{10}{3}\psi^{(20)}(\tau) - \frac{10}{3}\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(10\tau)^2 \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(20))\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{10\mathrm{A}}(\tau)^2 = &\,\frac{1}{39}E_4(\tau) - \frac{16}{39}E_4(2\tau) - \frac{625}{39}E_4(5\tau) + \frac{10000}{39}E_4(10\tau) \\ &- \frac{10}{3}g_{10}(\tau) - \frac{35}{13}g_5(\tau) + \frac{40}{13}g_5(2\tau) \qquad \in M_4(\Gamma_0(10))\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

- $g = 12\mathrm{A}$, $h = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{12\mathrm{A}}(\tau) = &-\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(2)}(\tau) + \frac{3}{2}\psi^{(4)}(\tau) + \frac{3}{2}\psi^{(6)}(\tau) - \psi^{(8)}(\tau) \\ &- \frac{9}{2}\psi^{(12)}(\tau) + 3\psi^{(24)}(\tau) - 3f_{24}(\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(24))\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{12\mathrm{A}}(\tau)^2 = &\,\frac{1}{5}E_4(2\tau) - \frac{16}{5}E_4(4\tau) - \frac{81}{5}E_4(6\tau) + \frac{1296}{5}E_4(12\tau) \\ &- 3g_{12}(\tau) - 3g_6(\tau) + \frac{24}{5}g_6(2\tau) \qquad \in M_4(\Gamma_0(12))\end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

- $g = 12\mathrm{B}$, $h = 12$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12\mathrm{B}}(\tau) = {} & \frac{1}{8}\psi^{(4)}(\tau) - \frac{3}{8}\psi^{(8)}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\psi^{(12)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{4}\psi^{(16)}(\tau) + \frac{3}{2}\psi^{(24)}(\tau) + \frac{3}{8}\psi^{(36)}(\tau) \\ & - \psi^{(48)}(\tau) - \frac{9}{8}\psi^{(72)}(\tau) + \frac{3}{4}\psi^{(144)}(\tau) - \frac{3}{2}E_2^{(9)}(4\tau) - 9E_2^{(9)}(8\tau) - 12E_2^{(9)}(16\tau) \\ & - \frac{1}{4}E_2^{(16)}(\tau) - 3E_2^{(16)}(3\tau) - \frac{27}{4}E_2^{(16)}(9\tau) - \frac{3}{4}E_2^{(144)}(\tau) - \frac{3}{2}f_{24}(2\tau) \\ & - \frac{27}{2}f_{24}(6\tau) - \frac{3}{4}f_{48}(\tau) + \frac{27}{4}f_{48}(3\tau) + \frac{9}{2}f_{72}(2\tau) - \frac{9}{4}f_{144,b}(\tau) \ \in M_2(\Gamma_0(144)) \end{aligned} \tag{C.16}$$

We have also worked out the expansion of $F^{12}_{12\mathrm{B}}$ in terms of generators of $M_{24}(\Gamma_0(12))$, but since the final expression is exceedingly complicated, we shall not spell it out here.

- $g = 21\mathrm{AB}$, $h = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{21\mathrm{AB}}(\tau) = {} & \frac{1}{8}\psi^{(3)}(\tau) + \frac{7}{32}\psi^{(7)}(\tau) - \frac{3}{32}\psi^{(9)}(\tau) - \frac{7}{8}\psi^{(21)}(\tau) + \frac{21}{32}\psi^{(63)}(\tau) + \frac{3}{32}E_2^{(9)}(\tau) \\ & - \frac{147}{32}E_2^{(9)}(7\tau) - \frac{21}{32}f_{21}(\tau) + \frac{189}{32}f_{21}(3\tau) - \frac{63}{32}f_{63,a}(\tau) \qquad \in M_2(\Gamma_0(63)) \end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{21\mathrm{AB}}(\tau)^3 = {} & -\tfrac{1}{169936}\,E_6(\tau) + \tfrac{729}{169936}\,E_6(3\tau) + \tfrac{117649}{169936}\,E_6(7\tau) - \tfrac{85766121}{169936}E_6\,(21\tau) \\ & - \tfrac{85641}{36608}\,h_3(\tau) - \tfrac{352947}{36608}\,h_3(7\tau) - \tfrac{64491}{94600}\,h_{7a}(\tau) - \tfrac{11263}{9728}\,h_{7b}(\tau) - \tfrac{18277}{4864}\,h_{7c}(\tau) \\ & - \tfrac{2740311}{189200}\,h_{7a}(3\tau) + \tfrac{66339}{9728}\,h_{7b}(3\tau) - \tfrac{250047}{4864}\,h_{7c}(3\tau) - \tfrac{441}{352}\,h_{21a}(\tau) \\ & - \tfrac{1323}{8800}\,h_{21b}(\tau) - \tfrac{735}{512}\,h_{21c}(\tau) - \tfrac{3087}{6400}\,h_{21d}(\tau) \qquad \in M_6(\Gamma_0(21))\ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.18}$$

References

[1] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri and Y. Tachikawa, *Notes on the K3 surface and the Mathieu group M_{24}*, Exper. Math. **20**, 91 (2011) `[arXiv:1004.0956 [hep-th]]`.

[2] T. Eguchi and K. Hikami, *Superconformal algebras and mock theta functions 2. Rademacher expansion for K3 surface*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **3**, 531 (2009) `[arXiv:0904.0911 [math-ph]]`.

[3] I.B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math. **134**, Academic Press (1988).

[4] J.G. Thompson, *Some numerology between the Fischer-Griess Monster and the elliptic modular function*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **11**, 352 (1979).

[5] J.H. Conway and S. Norton, *Monstrous moonshine*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **11**, 308 (1979).

[6] T. Gannon, *Moonshine beyond the Monster: The Bridge connecting Algebra, Modular Forms and Physics*, Cambridge University Press (2006).

[7] M.C.N. Cheng, *K3 Surfaces, N=4 dyons, and the Mathieu group M_{24},* Commun. Number Theory Phys. **4**, 623 (2010) `[arXiv:1005.5415 [hep-th]]`.

[8] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, R. Volpato, *Mathieu twining characters for K3,* JHEP **1009**, 058 (2010) `[arXiv:1006.0221 [hep-th]].`

[9] T. Kawai, Y. Yamada, and S.-K. Yang, *Elliptic genera and* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *superconformal field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **414**, 191 (1994) `[arXiv:hep-th/9306096].`

[10] J.R. David, D.P. Jatkar and A. Sen, *Product representation of dyon partition function in CHL models*, JHEP **0606**, 064 (2006) `[arXiv:hep-th/0602254].`

[11] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*, Birkhäuser (1985).

[12] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, A. Taormina and S.K. Yang, *Superconformal algebras and string compactification on manifolds with* $SU(N)$ *holonomy*, Nucl. Phys. B **315**, 193 (1989).

[13] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, *Character formulas for the* $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *superconformal algebra*, Phys. Lett. B **200**, 315 (1988).

[14] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, *On the unitary representations of* $N = 2$ *and* $N = 4$ *superconformal algebras*, Phys. Lett. B **210**, 125 (1988).

[15] S. Mukai, *Finite groups of automorphisms of* $K3$ *surfaces and the Mathieu group*, Invent. Math. **94**, 183 (1988).

[16] S. Kondo, *Niemeier lattices, Mathieu groups and finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. Journal **92**, 593 (1998), appendix by S. Mukai.

[17] D. Ford, J. McKay and S. Norton, *More on replicable functions*, Commun. Algebra **22**, 5175 (1994).

[18] A. Taormina and K. Wendland, *The symmetries of the tetrahedral Kummer surface in the Mathieu group* $\mathbb{M}_{24}$, `arXiv:1008.0954 [hep-th].`

[19] M.R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger and R. Volpato, work in progress.

[20] `http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/`

[21] S. Lang, *Introduction to Modular Forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **222**, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1995).

[22] W. Stein, *Modular Forms, a Computational Approach*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **79**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2007).

[23] T. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (2006).

[24] T. Eguchi, K. Hikami, *Note on Twisted Elliptic Genus of K3 Surface,* Phys. Lett. B **694**, 446 (2011) `[arXiv:1008.4924 [hep-th]].`